

# MA60053 - Computational Linear Algebra

## Sensitivity analysis

M. Rajesh Kannan

Department of Mathematics,  
Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur,  
email: rajeshkannan1.m@gmail.com, rajeshkannan@maths.iitkgp.ac.in



January 23, 2020

# A closer look at linear systems

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ .

## Observation

*The linear system  $Ax = b$  has a solution if and only if  $b$  is a linear combination of columns,  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , of  $A$ ,*

$$b = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n,$$

*where*

$$A = (a_1 \dots a_n), \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Sensitivity

## Example

Define the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $f(x) = 9^x$ . Consider the effect of a small perturbation to the input of  $f(50) = 9^{50}$ , such as

$$f(50.5) = \sqrt{9} \times 9^{50} = 3f(50).$$

Here a 1 percent change in the input causes a 300 percent change of the output.

# Sensitivity of linear systems

## Example

The linear system  $Ax = b$  with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/3 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the solution

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} -27 \\ 30 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Example cont.

### Example

However, a small change of the  $(2,2)^{th}$  element of the matrix  $A$  from 0.3 to  $1/3$  results in the total loss of the solution, because the system  $\tilde{A}x = b$  with

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

has no solution. Since,

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

does not belong to range space of  $A$ .

## Example

The linear system  $Ax = b$  with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, 0 < \epsilon \ll 1,$$

has the solution

$$x = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -2 - \epsilon \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Example

The linear system  $Ax = b$  with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, 0 < \epsilon \ll 1,$$

has the solution

$$x = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -2 - \epsilon \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But changing the  $(2, 2)^{th}$  element of  $A$  from  $1 + \epsilon$  to 1 results in the loss of the solution, because the linear system  $\tilde{A}x = b$  with

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has no solution. This happens regardless of how small  $\epsilon$  is.

# Absolute and relative error

## Definition

*If the scalar  $\tilde{x}$  is an approximation to the scalar  $x$ , then we call  $|x - \tilde{x}|$  an absolute error. If  $x \neq 0$ , then we call  $\frac{|x - \tilde{x}|}{|x|}$  a relative error. If  $\tilde{x} \neq 0$ , then  $\frac{|x - \tilde{x}|}{|\tilde{x}|}$  is also a relative error.*



# Absolute and relative error

## Definition

*If the scalar  $\tilde{x}$  is an approximation to the scalar  $x$ , then we call  $|x - \tilde{x}|$  an absolute error. If  $x \neq 0$ , then we call  $\frac{|x - \tilde{x}|}{|x|}$  a relative error. If  $\tilde{x} \neq 0$ , then  $\frac{|x - \tilde{x}|}{|\tilde{x}|}$  is also a relative error.*

**How about matrices?**

# Absolute and relative errors(using norm)

## Definition

If  $\tilde{x}$  is an approximation to a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\|x - \tilde{x}\|$  is a normwise absolute error. If  $x \neq 0$  or  $\tilde{x} \neq 0$ , then  $\frac{\|x - \tilde{x}\|}{\|x\|}$  and  $\frac{\|x - \tilde{x}\|}{\|\tilde{x}\|}$  are normwise relative errors.

# Sensitivity of linear systems

## Example

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$  and

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Sensitivity of linear systems

## Example

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the unique solution to the above system.

# Sensitivity of linear systems

## Example

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$  and

$b = \begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the unique solution to the above system.

Now, let us consider a slightly perturbed linear system  $Ax = b$ , where

$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1998.99 \\ 1997.01 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 20.97 \\ -18.99 \end{pmatrix}$  is the unique solution to the above system.

# Condition number

## Definition

*For an invertible matrix  $A$ , the condition number of  $A$  with respect to a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , denoted by  $\kappa(A)$ , is defined to be*

$$\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|.$$

# Condition number

## Definition

*For an invertible matrix  $A$ , the condition number of  $A$  with respect to a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , denoted by  $\kappa(A)$ , is defined to be*

$$\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|.$$

**Notation:** For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\kappa_p(A) = \|A\|_p \|A^{-1}\|_p$ .

# Condition number

## Definition

For an invertible matrix  $A$ , the condition number of  $A$  with respect to a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , denoted by  $\kappa(A)$ , is defined to be

$$\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|.$$

**Notation:** For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\kappa_p(A) = \|A\|_p \|A^{-1}\|_p$ .

## Example

If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -998 & 999 \\ 999 & -1000 \end{pmatrix}$

Then,  $\|A\|_1 = \|A\|_\infty = 1999$ , and  $\|A^{-1}\|_1 = \|A^{-1}\|_\infty = 1999$ . Thus  $\kappa_1(A) = \kappa_\infty(A) = 1999 \times 1999$ .



## Theorem

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be an invertible matrix. Then

- $\kappa(A) = \kappa(A^{-1})$ .
- $\kappa(A) = \kappa(cA)$  for any non zero real number  $c$ .
- $\kappa(A) \geq 1$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be an invertible matrix. Then

- $\kappa(A) = \kappa(A^{-1})$ .
- $\kappa(A) = \kappa(cA)$  for any non zero real number  $c$ .
- $\kappa(A) \geq 1$ .

## Remark

- 1 Condition number of a singular matrix is defined to be infinity.
- 2 In general, there is no relationship between the condition number and the determinant. E.g. For the matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\det(A_\alpha) = \alpha^2$  and  $\kappa(A_\alpha) = 1$ .

# Condition number - measure of sensitivity of linear systems

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be non-singular, and let  $x$  and  $\tilde{x} = x + \Delta x$  be the solutions of  $Ax = b$  and  $A\tilde{x} = b + \delta b$ . Then

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \kappa(A) \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}.$$

# Condition number - measure of sensitivity of linear systems

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be non-singular, and let  $x$  and  $\tilde{x} = x + \Delta x$  be the solutions of  $Ax = b$  and  $A\tilde{x} = b + \delta b$ . Then

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \kappa(A) \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}.$$

## Remark

If we perturb the coefficient matrix  $A$ , then, also, we can bound the error in the solution. Note that, perturbed matrix need not be invertible.

# Condition number - measure of sensitivity of linear systems

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be an invertible matrix. If  $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} < \frac{1}{\kappa(A)}$ , then  $A + \Delta A$  is invertible.

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be an invertible matrix. If  $x$  and  $\tilde{x} = x + \Delta x$  are the solutions to the systems  $Ax = b$  and  $(A + \Delta A)\tilde{x} = b$ , and  $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} < \frac{1}{\kappa(A)}$ , then

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\kappa(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}}{1 - \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} \kappa(A)}.$$

# Condition number - measure of sensitivity of linear systems

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be an invertible matrix. If  $Ax = b$  and

$$(A + \Delta A)(x + \Delta x) = (b + \Delta b); \quad b + \Delta b \neq 0,$$

then

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|\tilde{x}\|} \leq \kappa(A) \left( \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b + \Delta b\|} + \frac{\|\Delta A\| \|\Delta b\|}{\|A\| \|b + \Delta b\|} \right).$$

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be an invertible matrix, and  $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} < \frac{1}{\kappa(A)}$ . If  $Ax = b$  and

$$(A + \Delta A)(x + \Delta x) = (b + \Delta b); \quad b \neq 0,$$

then

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\kappa(A) \left( \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} \right)}{1 - \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} \kappa(A)}.$$

# Example

## Example

$$\text{If } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -998 & 999 \\ 999 & -1000 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then,  $\|A\|_1 = \|A\|_\infty = 1999$ , and  $\|A^{-1}\|_1 = \|A^{-1}\|_\infty = 1999$ . Thus  $\kappa_1(A) = \kappa_\infty(A) = 1999 \times 1999$ .

The condition number of the matrix  $A$  is high, so the solutions of the perturbed system in the previous example changed drastically.

# Geometric meaning of condition number

## Definition

*The maximum and minimum magnification by the matrix  $A$  are defined, respectively, by*

- $\text{maxmag}(A) = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|,$

- $\text{minmag}(A) = \min_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|.$



# Geometric meaning of condition number

## Definition

The maximum and minimum magnification by the matrix  $A$  are defined, respectively, by

- $\text{maxmag}(A) = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|,$

- $\text{minmag}(A) = \min_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|.$

## Theorem

If  $A$  is nonsingular matrix, then

- 1  $\text{maxmag}(A) = \frac{1}{\text{minmag}(A^{-1})},$  and

- 2  $\text{minmag}(A) = \frac{1}{\text{maxmag}(A^{-1})}.$

# Geometric meaning of condition number

## Theorem

*If  $A$  is a nonsingular matrix, then*

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\max\text{mag}(A)}{\min\text{mag}(A)}.$$

# Observations

- Consider  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$ .

# Observations

- Consider  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- As,  $\text{maxmag}(A) = \|A\|_\infty$ , so  $\text{maxmag}(A) = 1999$ . For the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , it is easy to see that,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix}$ .

# Observations

- Consider  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- As,  $\text{maxmag}(A) = \|A\|_\infty$ , so  $\text{maxmag}(A) = 1999$ . For the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , it is easy to see that,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- So, with respect  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is magnified maximally by  $A$ , and hence it gives a direction of maximum magnification. Also, the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix}$  is in the direction of minimum magnification  $A^{-1}$ .

# Observations

- Similarly for the matrix  $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -998 & 999 \\ 999 & -1000 \end{pmatrix}$  the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is in a direction of maximum magnification of  $A^{-1}$ , and the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1997 \\ -1999 \end{pmatrix}$  is in the direction of minimum magnification of  $A$ .

Using these observations, let us construct an interesting example.

# Spectacular example(Watkins)

## Example

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$  and

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Spectacular example(Watkins)

## Example

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the unique solution to the above system.



## Spectacular example(Watkins)

### Example

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1000 & 999 \\ 999 & 998 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the unique solution to the above system.

Now, let us consider a slightly perturbed linear system  $A(x + \Delta x) = b + \Delta b$ , where  $\Delta b = \begin{pmatrix} -0.01 \\ 0.01 \end{pmatrix}$ , a vector in the direction of maximum magnification by  $A^{-1}$ . Then

$$x + \Delta x = A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1999 \\ 1997 \end{pmatrix} + A^{-1} \Delta b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 19.97 \\ -19.99 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 20.97 \\ -18.99 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Scaling

## Example

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$  and,  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$ .

# Scaling

## Example

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$  and,  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the unique solution to the above system.

# Scaling

## Example

Consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$  and,  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the unique solution to the above system.  $\kappa_1(A) = \kappa_\infty(A) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ , so the matrix is ill-conditioned.

Take  $\Delta b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $x + \Delta x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\frac{\|\Delta b\|_\infty}{\|b\|_\infty} = \epsilon$ , and  $\frac{\|\Delta x\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} = 1$ .

Multiply the second row of the system by  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ , then we get a well conditioned

system, with  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be any nonsingular matrix, and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be the columns of  $A$ . Then for any  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$\kappa_p(A) \geq \frac{\|a_i\|_p}{\|a_j\|_p},$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be any nonsingular matrix, and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be the columns of  $A$ . Then for any  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$\kappa_p(A) \geq \frac{\|a_i\|_p}{\|a_j\|_p},$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

## Remark

- 1 If the columns of the matrix  $A$  have different orders of magnitude, then  $A$  is ill-conditioned.

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be any nonsingular matrix, and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be the columns of  $A$ . Then for any  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$\kappa_p(A) \geq \frac{\|a_i\|_p}{\|a_j\|_p},$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

## Remark

- 1 If the columns of the matrix  $A$  have different orders of magnitude, then  $A$  is ill-conditioned. Similarly for the rows.

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be any nonsingular matrix, and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be the columns of  $A$ . Then for any  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$\kappa_p(A) \geq \frac{\|a_i\|_p}{\|a_j\|_p},$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

## Remark

- 1 If the columns of the matrix  $A$  have different orders of magnitude, then  $A$  is ill-conditioned. Similarly for the rows.  $s$
- 2 Necessary condition for a matrix to be well-conditioned is that all its rows and columns are of roughly the same magnitude.



## Theorem

Let  $A$  be any nonsingular matrix, and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be the columns of  $A$ . Then for any  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$\kappa_p(A) \geq \frac{\|a_i\|_p}{\|a_j\|_p},$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

## Remark

- 1 If the columns of the matrix  $A$  have different orders of magnitude, then  $A$  is ill-conditioned. Similarly for the rows.  $s$
- 2 Necessary condition for a matrix to be well-conditioned is that all its rows and columns are of roughly the same magnitude. **It is not sufficient!**

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be any nonsingular matrix, and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be the columns of  $A$ . Then for any  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$\kappa_p(A) \geq \frac{\|a_i\|_p}{\|a_j\|_p},$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

## Remark

- 1 If the columns of the matrix  $A$  have different orders of magnitude, then  $A$  is ill-conditioned. Similarly for the rows.  $s$
- 2 Necessary condition for a matrix to be well-conditioned is that all its rows and columns are of roughly the same magnitude. **It is not sufficient!**  
*Example?*