#### LOGICAL DEDUCTION IN AI

# PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC TO PREDICATE LOGIC



Arijit Mondal & Partha P Chakrabarti
Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur

#### **Deduction Using Propositional Logic: Steps**

<u>Choice of Boolean Variables</u> a, b, c, d, ... which can take values <u>true</u> or <u>false</u>.

Boolean Formulae developed using well defined connectors  $\sim$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ , etc, whose meaning (semantics) is given by their truth tables.

<u>Codification of Sentences</u> of the argument into Boolean Formulae.

Developing the <u>Deduction Process</u> as obtaining truth of a <u>Combined</u> Formula expressing the complete argument.

<u>Determining the Truth</u> or **Validity** of the formula and thereby proving or disproving the argument and Analyzing its truth under various Interpretations.

# Deduction Using Propositional Logic: Example 1

<u>Choice of Boolean Variables</u> a, b, c, d, ... which can take values <u>true</u> or <u>false</u>.

Boolean Formulae developed using well defined connectors  $\sim$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ , etc, whose meaning (semantics) is given by their truth tables.

<u>Codification</u> of Sentences of the argument into Boolean Formulae.

Developing the Deduction Process as obtaining truth of a <u>Combined Formula</u> expressing the complete argument.

<u>Determining the Truth</u> or Validity of the formula and thereby proving or disproving the argument and Analyzing its truth under various Interpretations.

If I am the President then I am well-known. I am the President. So I am well-known

**Coding: Variables** 

a: I am the President

b: I am well-known

**Coding the sentences:** 

F1:  $a \rightarrow b$ 

F2: a

G: b

The final formula for deduction: (F1  $\wedge$  F2)  $\rightarrow$  G,

that is:

 $((a \rightarrow b) \land a) \rightarrow b$ 

## Deduction Using Propositional Logic: Example 1

Boolean variables a, b, c, d, ... which can take values <u>true</u> or <u>false</u>.

Boolean formulae developed using well defined connectors  $\sim$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ , etc, whose meaning (semantics) is given by their truth tables.

Codification of sentences of the argument into Boolean Formulae.

Developing the Deduction Process as obtaining truth of a combined formula expressing the complete argument.

Determining the Truth or Validity of the formula and thereby proving or disproving the argument and Analyzing its truth under various interpretations.

<u>If I am the President</u> then <u>I am well-known</u>. <u>I am the President</u>. So <u>I am well-known</u>

**Coding: Variables** 

a: I am the President

b: I am well-known

**Coding the sentences**:

F1:  $a \rightarrow b$ 

F2: a

G: b

The final formula for deduction: (F1  $\wedge$  F2)  $\rightarrow$  G, that is: ((a  $\rightarrow$  b)  $\wedge$  a)  $\rightarrow$  b

а	b	$a \rightarrow b$	(a → b) ∧ a	$((a \to b) \land a\ ) \to b$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	Т	F	Т

## Deduction Using Propositional Logic: Example 2

Boolean variables a, b, c, d, ... which can take values true or false.

Boolean formulae developed using well defined connectors  $\sim$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ , etc, whose meaning (semantics) is given by their truth tables.

Codification of sentences of the argument into Boolean Formulae.

Developing the Deduction Process as obtaining truth of a combined formula expressing the complete argument.

Determining the Truth or Validity of the formula and thereby proving or disproving the argument and Analyzing its truth under various interpretations. <u>If I am the President</u> then <u>I am well-known</u>. <u>I am not the President</u>. So <u>I am not well-known</u>

**Coding: Variables** 

a: I am the President

b: I am well-known

**Coding the sentences:** 

F1:  $a \rightarrow b$ 

F2: ~a

G: ~b

The final formula for deduction: (F1  $\wedge$  F2)  $\rightarrow$  G, that is: ((a  $\rightarrow$  b)  $\wedge$  ~a)  $\rightarrow$  ~b

а	b	$a \rightarrow b$	(a → b) ∧ ~a	((a → b) ∧ ~a ) → ~b
Т	Т	Т	F	Т
Т	F	F	F	Т
F	Т	T	Т	F
F	F	Т	Т	Т

#### **Insufficiency of Propositional Logic**

Wherever Mary goes, so does the lamb. Mary goes to school. So the lamb goes to school.

No contractors are dependable. Some engineers are contractors. Therefore some engineers are not dependable.

All dancers are graceful. Ayesha is a student. Ayesha is a dancer. Therefore some student is graceful.

Every passenger is either in first class or second class. Each passenger is in second class if and only if he or she is not wealthy. Some passengers are wealthy. Not all passengers are wealthy. Therefore some passengers are in second class.

#### **Predicate Logic**

Wherever Mary goes, so does the lamb. Mary goes to school. So the lamb goes to school.

No contractors are dependable. Some engineers are contractors. Therefore some engineers are not dependable.

All dancers are graceful. Ayesha is a student. Ayesha is a dancer. Therefore some student is graceful.

Every passenger is either in first class or second class. Each passenger is in second class if and only if he or she is not wealthy. Some passengers are wealthy. Not all passengers are wealthy. Therefore some passengers are in second class. New Additions in Proposition (First Order Logic)

Variables, Constants, Predicate Symbols and

New Connectors: ∃ (there exists), ¥(for all)

## Formulating Predicate Logic Statements

**New Additions in Proposition (First Order Logic)** 

Variables, Constants, Predicate Symbols and

New Connectors: ∃ (there exists), **∀**(for all)

Wherever Mary goes, so does the Lamb. Mary goes to School. So the Lamb goes to School.

Predicate: goes(x,y) to represent x goes to y

New Connectors: **∃** (there exists), **∀**(for all)

F1:  $\forall x (goes(Mary, x) \rightarrow goes(Lamb, x))$ 

F2: goes(Mary, School)

G: goes(Lamb, School)

To prove:  $(F1 \land F2) \rightarrow G)$  is always true

No contractors are dependable. Some engineers are contractors. Therefore some engineers are not dependable.

Predicates: contractor(x), dependable(x),
engineer(x)

F1:  $\forall x$ (contractor(x)  $\rightarrow$  ~dependable(x))

[Alternative:  $\sim \exists x \text{ (contractor(x) } \land \text{ dependable(x))}]$ 

F2:  $\exists x (engineer(x) \land contractor(x))$ 

G:  $\exists x (engineer(x) \land \neg dependable(x))$ 

To prove:  $(F1 \land F2) \rightarrow G)$  is always true

#### More Examples

All dancers are graceful. Ayesha is a student. Ayesha is a dancer.
Therefore some student is graceful.

Every passenger is either in first class or second class. Each passenger is in second class if and only if the passenger is not wealthy. Some passengers are wealthy. Not all passengers are wealthy. Therefore some passengers are in second class.

# Thank you